EXHIBIT 41

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 12411-jig Doc 14-41 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 15:47:19 Exhibit 41 NYSCEF: 03/21/2019 Pg 2 of 36

> SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK : PART 61

PACIFIC ALLIANCE ASIA OPPORTUNITY FUND L.P.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Index No. 652077-17

KWOK HO WAN, a/k/a KWOK HO, a/k/a GWO WEN GUI, a/k/a GUO WENGUI, a/k/a GUO WEN GUI, a/k/a WAN GUE HAOYUN, a/k/a MILES KWOK, a/k/a HAOYUN GUO,

Defendants.

March 8, 2019 60 Centre Street New York, New York

BEFORE: HON. BARRY OSTRAGER

Supreme Court Justice

APPEARANCES:

Attorney for Plaintiff O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 7 Times Square Tower New York, NY 10036 BY: EDWARD MOSS, ESQ. STUART SARNOFF, ESQ.

Attorney For Defendant HODGSON RUSS 605 Third Avenue New York, NY 10158 BY: MARK A. HARMON, ESQ. JULLIAN MARIE SEARLES, ESQ.

JACQUELINE CAMPBELL Senior Court Reporter

1 Proceedings 2 THE COURT: The court issued a decision June 28th, 2018. The last paragraph of the 3 decision states "that while Pacific Alliance 4 Asia Opportunity fund fails to meet the heavy 5 burden for an for attachment, the court believes 6 7 that discovery, which may now proceed, may shed 8 light on Genever and/or Kwok's purported fraudulent intent in purchasing and attempting to 9 seel to Residence to avoid enforcement of a 10 11 judgment in this action. Plaintiff may renew its motion if, and when 12 13 discovery provides evidence of Genever and/or Kwok's intent, as well as a basis for a veil 14 15 piercing claim by which to attach Genever's property. Plaintiff may also attempt to preserve 16 17 the status quo by amending its complaint to add other parties and seeking a preliminary injunction 18 enjoining the sale of the Residence, assuming 19 20 Plaintiff establish the basis for such relief. 21 So now I have a renewed motion for a prejudgment 22 order of attachment. I'll hear you. 23 MR. MOSS: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, I have a packet. May I approach? 24 25 MR. HARMON: I just saw this. I have no

3 Proceedings 1 2 idea what's in it. 3 THE COURT: I'm assuming there is nothing in the packet that is other than summary 5 of the arguments that are contained in the 6 breaching. 7 MR. HARMON: That's correct, your Honor. Everything is cited to our briefs or to the cases 8 that we cited. 10 THE COURT: It's demonstrative. MR. HARMON: I'm also all in favor with 11 12 anything that assists your Honor in deciding it. 13 I'm simply noting that I haven't had a chance to see this and I don't know what's in it. 14 15 THE COURT: That's noted, but on the 16 basis of counsel's representation that there is 17 nothing in this packet other than what's in the somewhat voluminous motion papers, I'm going to 18 avail myself of the opportunity to seek his 19 20 demonstrative. 21 MR. HARMON: Understood, your Honor. 22 Thank you, your Honor. MR. MOSS: You read from the court's order so we 23 morning. could skip to slide two of my demonstrative 24 25 because we started with the court's order.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2811-jlg Doc 14-41 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 15:47:19 Exhibit 41

Pg 5 of 36

Proceedings

correct, your Honor, we're back. We have taken the discovery that your Honor ordered us to take and it would be an understatement to say that the discovery was fruitful. We now have more than enough evidence that we need to prove the two things that we need to prove. And I'd like to start with the standard and that is on page two. The first thing we need to prove is CPLR 62013 grounds for attachment that Mr. Kwok would be attempting to defraud his creditors or frustrate the enforcement of the judgment that might be rendered in our favor, as assigned, disposed of, encumbered or secreted property, or is about to remove it from the state, or is about to do any of these acts.

First, on the assigned, disposed of, encumbered or secreted. This is clear. He's tried hard. He's continuing to try hard to sell the residence. What we learned in discovery is that he tried to assign it to a trust in his son's name. He tried to assign one of the holding companies to a trust in his son's name. That's one of the pieces of new evidence that we learned and I'll walk through that. He's encumbered not

Proceedings

once but twice he's pled to this in this residency including during the pendency of this lawsuit.

The people who said in papers are third party, we don't know are third parties because he didn't tell us anything about the pledges in discovery.

So the focus will be on the intent prong and whether or not Mr. Kwok intends to frustrate the enforcement of a judgment. We're not required to show intent to defraud. We're required to show intent to the frustrate the enforcement and we have that evidence.

In terms of what the cases say, your Honor, these are all cases cited in our brief page 3 of the deck. Fraud must ordinarily be inferred from the circumstances. Mr. Kwok is not gonna raise his hand and say I'm about to commit fraud. You have to infer it from circumstantial evidence.

Secrecy in asset transfers is one of the things that courts look at. We have that here. The pattern of conduct after incurring the debt. I'll walk briefly through a time line. We have that here today.

Evasiveness in court proceedings. That is Coley v. Vannguard. In that case they looked at

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2811-jlg Doc 14-41 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 15:47:19 Exhibit 41

Pg 7 of 36

Proceedings

the failure to produce evidence testimony that is contradictory and incoherent. Here we have that We have that base. We have a bogus affidavit that was submitted to the court from someone with zero personal knowledge of anything she said on the last topic motion. I'll walk through that as well and a lack of other assets in the jurisdiction. That's not contested and as the court knows he has mounting debt in this jurisdiction including to the Boies Schiller firm which was his former counsel in this case.

In terms of the second thing we are going to pierce the veil, that is line 4. And that's abuse of the privilege. As your Honor knows of doing business in the corporate forum, to perpetrate a wrong or injustice on the complaining party. And the Baby Phat case in our papers says there is a discretion. There are no definitive rules. This is a fact specific inquiry. And again as with the CPLR, wrongdoing does not necessarily require fraud. Claims of inequity or malfeasance will also suffice. One of the things courts look at is the failure to observe corporate formalities.

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

formed two shell companies to buy their apartment. He didn't capitalize them. The money didn't come from the shell companies to buy the apartment. The money came from somewhere else. Using a shell company to shield yourself from liability when you know a debt is gonna become owed, especially a foreign shell company, that's important because in the Sweeney versus Kane case sited on page 24 of our opening brief and summerized on slide 5. Sweeney versus Kane there were New York defendants who owed a debt, and right before they knew they were going to have to pay, they formed a Florida corporation to buy a house in the Hamptons. they were New York residents like Mr. Kwok. formed a foreign corporation by like Mr. Kwok. There was a foreign corp. Here it was a BVI LLC to purchase and shield real estate in New York City. The court applied -- in New York State. The court applied Florida law, but it noted that Florida law and New York are same in terms of requiring that additional element fraud and malfeasance with respect to the plaintiff. In order to prove And there it was just like here because they did reversal. They were holding the

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2811-jig Doc 14-41 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 15:47:19 Exhibit 41 Pg 9 of 36

8

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

corporation liable for the debts of the individual. And what the court concluded therein, that on the timing and structure of bringing that in through the back door, defendants utterly dominated the shell and they formed it to protected assets from plaintiff creditors such as the plaintiffs thereby in effect defrauding them.

So I'll now move onto the new evidence that was uncovered since the last time we were here that enables us to us meet both of these standards. Slide 6 I have snipped this because it was very striking to me, your Honor. featured this argument in the preliminary statement that PAX have failed to uncover any new evidence. Well, they can only say that by completely ignoring the new evidence because in reality plaintiff's evidence it's significant. Ιt goes right to the heart of these standards and it's irrefutable and the way you know that it's irrefutable, they didn't even trust in their papers, they didn't even trust. They said well we don't think it's relevant. We think this is a clear ending. I'm going to explain why it's precisely relevant. Your Honor, in the deck we

1	Proceedings
	2
2	also have a handout. It's a time line. I don't
3	know if you have a copy of it. It's inserted into
4	the paper that I handed you. I think I included
5	it in the packet.
6	THE COURT: Have you seen this?
7	MR. HARMON: Not before right now, your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. I think you gave
10	me this already.
11	MR. MOSS: I think I did. This is two
12	documents stapled together. The first page if you
13	open it up, the first page has all black ink.
14	That was a time line that I walked you through
15	last time when we didn't have the benefit of
16	discovery based mostly on publicly available
17	information. They say we don't have any new
18	evidence. If you would turn to the second page
19	it's essentially a red line of all the new
20	evidence that has been uncovered since the last
21	time we were here. All the new evidence and
22	developments in the case. On the back side of
23	that paper we anticipated there might be an
24	objection. We cited where all of this evidence
25	comes from. It comes from our briefs and

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everything that's before the court. So slide 7 of the deck summarized this new evidence I'm not going to go through it all. It's all on papers. I would just like to talk about some of the most salient new evidence here. And I'll start with the background.

The parties entered into the loan agreement. We lent Mr. Kwok from Shiny Time's money in 2011. He missed the repayment deadline. We tried to settle it. He said I'll give you three apartments I own in Beijing. We said okay we'll take the three apartments. There were preconditions. had to give us title to the apartment. He had to tell us that the apartments were unencumbered, that there weren't any liens on it. He missed some deadlines to satisfy the conditions three times and we kept extending it to try to work this out three times. Then we get to January and February of 2015 on the time line. Mr. Kwok's assets were seized by the Chinese government and he had flees to New York. The assets that are seized include these three apartments. after he knows that the assets have been seized and he's never gonna able to satisfactory the

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conditions, he negotiates one last settlement extension, February 10th, 2014, that gives him until June to satisfy these conditions he's never going to be able to satisfy. What does he do? Then he tries to shield the money. Three days later he forms these two Genever entities, a New York entity, a BVI entity. He fails to capitalize either one and he uses efforts, to wit, to buy the shedding of those apartments, 67.5 million dollars. Precise exactly the amount that he owed us at the time. He needs board approval and this is new information. He needs board approval. learned about the failure to capitalize and the board approval process. He obtained it fraudulent by showing assets of Chinese companies to the board that he said he owned, but he didn't own. He recommended in writing through Paul Weiss and through Williams and Connelly. I'm sure he told them that he owned the company, but he didn't. He told me in his deposition not only did he not own these companies but one of the companies who is very sizable balance sheet he showed to the Sherry Netherlands, he said maybe I knew those assets had already been seized by the Chinese government.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017 NYSCEF DOC. 20-12411-jlg Doc 14-41 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 15:47:19 Exhibit 41 Pg 13 of 36

12

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Not only does he obtain the apartment through false pretenses, he pressured the board to do it quickly. I give them three days between signing and closing the Sherry Netherlands tells us this is something unprecedented. He didn't understand why there was such a harness. There was such a harness because he wanted to get this money parked somewhere. What he does there are a few things to know. First, he used a two holding company structure. He buys it through New York LLC and then has a BVI LLC on top of that. The purpose of that is using foreign corporation like Sweeney to The witness at the Sherry Netherlands shield it. that's been in this industry for decades, said he has never seen two holding companies like this before.

Second, I've already covered that the money doesn't come even from the shell companies. The money comes from somewhere else. Third, this one is significant. He all but admitted at his deposition that the money he used to the buy this apartment through this Genever entity came from Shiny Times that we lent money to. He said that Shiny Times and Genever had quote a representative

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relationship and he volunteered this part. Τ didn't ask him about real estate or houses or home. He said like when you purchase a house there will be some meeting on behalf. After a break he came back in and said I have a clarification to make. I didn't think you were talking about Shiny Times. I thought you had said Hong Kong International. I don't know what Hong Kong International is. That's an entity never been part of this case. I never heard it before. He told the truth during deposition on that point and made a strategic decision and he came back in and cleaned it up. More new evidence, a couple of more points on the new evidence. June 2016 he drops the price of the apartment. He dropped the price of the apartment a few months after we had chased him to the BVI and had China Times liquidated in the BVI. Not only did he drop the price of the apartment, he also attempted to transfer it into a trust in his son's name. was inquiring about putting the holding company into trust in his son's name. He was doing that in order to try to shield the apartment. do you drop the price to try hard to sell it, at

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 281 DOC 14-41 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 15:47:19 Exhibit 41 Pq 15 of 36

Proceedings

the same time try to gift it to your son and all at the same time while it's pledged to some third party. He has playing games with this apartment in order to shield it from creditors when he has known that his debt was due to us. There is also a host of misrepresentations that go to the heart of that piercing and the heart of the standard. During this lawsuit they say all this stuff about the lawsuit is irrelevant, not because he new his debt was due. If we want to focus on what happened during the lawsuit, we could focus on what happened during the lawsuit, because there is some egregious things that have happened during the lawsuit to briefly go into.

Slide 8 Mr. Kwok in his deposition denied the very existence of the debt. In prior papers he's made the argument that while I satisfied the settlement conditions so I don't owe the money. Here he made something up new in his deposition. Slide 8, "I never signed the 30 million agreement. The money was never given to us." On the left hand side you'll see that's his signature in Chinese. On the document that says the borrower did receive the 30 million dollars principal

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

amount of the original facility. The fact that Mr. Kwok made this argument up and now says there wasn't even a debt shows that he thinks it's a game to him your Honor, he's not gonna play by the rules. He told me that at his deposition. 9 I asked him what I thought was a pretty straight forward question. "Were why your text messages searched in connection with this litigation?" You'll see the quote on slide 9. His answer was "he thinks it's a crazy case. I think this is just scamming. I think you guys are a bunch of I think you are just mafia. You working for the communists." And he doesn't need to pay any attention to this case at all. That was his response about text messages. And then I figured he's living in this country he is seeking asylum. He's availing himself of the laws. He uses these courts all the time. He's gonna pay a judgment, if the court enters a judgment. I took a little bit of a risk I asked him. I said this is slide 10. "I know you've testified Mr. Kwok a lot about how you think that this is an illegitimate lawsuit." But if the court finds for our client, if the court finds for our client and orders you

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

Proceedings

to pay, will you pay. They instructed him not to answer the question. They said it was beyond the scope of discovery on the attachment motion. We were seeking discovery to try to figure out if the Mr. Kwok would intend to frustrate a judgment as those words are used in the CPLR. I asked him if he intended to frustrate his judgement and they wouldn't let him answer the question.

Your Honor, and then on slide 12 there is more -- excuse me, slide 11 you have had an order in this case that he has to inform us of any contract for sale. I asked him will you abide by They didn't let him answer that that order. guestion either. They said that that was outside the scope of discovery. Whether he'll abide by an order the court issued in the context of an attachment motion was outside the scope of discovery on the attachment motion. Slide 12 these are some of the misrepresentations to this court during this lawsuit about the apartment and about the holding company structure which goes to veil piercing. Slide 12 this is the Wang affidavit that they had submitted from his employee, Mr. Kwok's employee. I'll get to Ms.

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Wang a little bit later, but she testifies at the top left of the slide 12 about Mr. Kwok's ownership interest in the apartment. chapter and verse this is how he owns the apartment through these shares and then you'll see at his deposition I asked do you own the apartment at Sherry Netherlands. He said no. At the top right Ms. Wang testified to this court in her affidavit that Mr. Kwok is a the sole shareholder of Genever BVI. I asked him if he had controlled Genever BVI in his deposition. He said of course I don't control it. Slide 13 and 14 are misrepresentations to this court on this motion and the last attachment motion about the pledges and the reason the pledges are so important is because this was their argument on the last If the court will recall, we filed an motion. attachment motion. They said you can't, attachment judge, the apartment has been pledged to somebody else. And we learned by doing some digging after that and by taking these depositions that they made misrepresentations to you about the pledge. And it was the pledge of the assets of Genever. They pledged the assets of Genever

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meaning they were making misrepresentations about the court performed. Slide 13, we were having an argument about whether the lien was intact. Harmon held up the British Virgin island filing, he said just so your Honor knows that the lien is still in effect today. He told you on June 27th, Well it wasn't. We found the document 2018. after the hearing. That second pledge had been released on June 12th, 2018. He told you about the pledge being put on but not about it being released. And this is the BVI filing that he wanted you to look at. Slide 14, they make the argument since May of 2015 the apartment has been No, it was pledged in May 2015, but that pledged. pledge was released in March of 2017 a year before they told you it was still pledged. It wasn't even still pledged. Now, I just want to talk quickly about the affidavit. This is the affidavit that they put in. It was the centerpiece of their motion to their opposition to the last attachment motion. This was their factual support. Ms. Wang testified in her affidavit that she was an administrator for Mr. Kwok's interest. I deposed her. She was his

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She didn't know anything about the translator. business at all. And she made all of these sworn statements to this court relevant to the attachment motion about the business and she knew nothing about it. Slide 15, she talked about his ownership interest in the apartment in a filing submitted to this court. I asked her you don't know who owns this apartment, and you don't know who owns Genever, right? She said right. That's slide 16. Slide 16 she makes all these representations about which Genever entity owns the other one. She attaches what appears as a true and correct copy of corporate documents. I asked her at deposition, you don't know who owns either of these entities. I don't know. You've never even seen documents relating to these corporations, right? I've never seen them. though she attaches what she said are true and correct copies.

Slide 17, this is important because they don't like our argument that the funds that we gave them were actually the funds that went into the apartment. And they try to oppose that trap. They said "the funds used to purchase the

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

apartment came from family and third-party funding unrelated to the loan at issue in this action." She swore to the court she knew where the money She swore in her deposition she had no idea where the money came from and that nobody Slide 18 the other center piece of ever told her. this argument last time, it's pledged so you can't do anything with it, your Honor. This was their sole evidence on the pledge, their sole witness on the pledge. She talked about the apartment being pledged, the shares being pledged on slide 18. And I asked her at her deposition, you don't have any knowledge of any pledges, right? You're And you've never seen any documents, right. right? Right. These were the same documents that she attached to her affidavit as true and correct copies, she had never seen them before. just like to summarize on slide 19, your Honor, I know we spent a lot of time last time on veil piercing. And I just want to summerize our evidence on veil piercing. He formed two Genever entities to shield the residence from creditors when he knew the debt was due to us. He failed to capitalize either of these. He used false

1 Proceedings information to convince Sherry-Netherland to 2 3 approve the purchase when he knew the debt was due and owing to us. He used an unprecedented structure, two different LLC's, one of them being 5 foreign entity to protect the residence. 6 7 likely used the Shiny Times money as he testified from PAX LP to buy the residence. He misrepresented to this court the status of the pledges of the Genever entities and submitted a 10 phony affidavit that made representations about 11 the Genever entities from somebody who had 12 absolutely no personal knowledge of what she was 13 14 talking about. We submit, based on all of this, while we 15 fairly failed to meet the standard last time, 16 we've met the standard now. 17 Your Honor, this is a discretionary remedy 18 meant to promote fairness and it would be 19 20 fundamentally unfair to allow Mr. Kwok to make himself judgment proof from a debt he clearly owes 21 22 and has been evading from us for such a long time. 23 Thank you, your Honor. MR. HARMON: -- thank you, your Honor. 24 Mark Harmon for the Defendant. I think I will be 25

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brief and then I will be happy to address any questions you have for me. I feel like we're going down the same road that we've been before. This question of whether or not the purchase of the Sherry apartment of the Sherry Netherlands is an attempt to defraud PAX the creditor and the first point clearly is I think just in a practical level the money to buy the apartment came from an entity in Hong Kong called Bravo Luck. what the proof shows. In fact, no money was ever loaned to Shiny Times. Shiny Times assumed the debt of another entity. So Shiny Times never got Shiny Times assumed the debt of another the loan. entity. There is no evidence that any of the money that was used to purchase this apartment came from the plaintiff. Certainly the plaintiff is in a position to know to whom they gave money and when. And that evidence is not before your They have created this scenario where they Honor. argue that because Mr. Kwok brought an expensive apartment in the City of New York and has put it on the market for sale, that that constitutes an attempt to defraud the creditors. And they talk about secreting assets, that a hallmark of the

1 Proceedings claim is when you're being secretive and secreting 2 What could be more public then putting 65 3 million dollars of money from Hong Kong in a luxury apartment, high profile luxury apartment in 5 New York City for everybody to see? It wasn't a 6 7 mystery. Nobody tried to conceal that. The money was used to buy the apartment. Now, they talk 8 about the Sherry Netherlands being asked to 9 10 hastily approve the purchase as evidence that 11 something was amiss here. The Sherry Netherlands is one of the premiere cooperative buildings in 12 the City of New York. Its board of directors is 13 made up of people who are the bastions of the City 14 of New York. It is inconceivable that that board 15 16 was pressured by Mr. Kwok to do something they 17 didn't want to do. They put in -- they put in all their evidence that was asked for by the coop 18 board and they asked the coop board to act 19 20 quickly, and they did and the purchase was 21 approved. And the property is still owned by the 22 entity that purchased it. 23 Now, I think it's untort, your Honor, that for months prior to the issuance of this court's 24 25 order PAX has been communicating with the Sherry

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Netherlands to let them know what's going on and obtain their assistance. And I'm sorry that the manager Mr. Allman of the Sherry Netherlands is unhappy and dissatisfied that the board decided to allow purchase of the apartment by Genever, but the board approved that and the apartment is still owned there and Mr. Allman conceded that more than 50 percent of the owners of the apartments at Sherry Netherlands owned through a corporate forum. So there is nothing unique about what Mr. Kwok did, what the defendant did. The money, there is no evidence at all that ties any of the money that was loaned to any entity by the plaintiff to this apartment, that it was borrowed and then put into the apartment and back. Whatever loans they say were made were made years before the purchase of the apartment.

They talk about the attempt to put the apartment in their son's name. Yes, as Mr. Moss said, an inquiry was made. Somebody inquired of the Sherry Netherlands what would it take to change the ownership of the apartment to our son. And when the Sherry Netherlands responded and said essentially what had to happen they abandoned it.

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This was that simple. They asked a question. They got an answer. They abandoned it. Your Honor at the last hearing we had raised a question of whether or not the existence of a pledge impacted the decision of the court to issue an attachment. And your Honor said well that's a matter of priority and we agreed. agreed it's a question of priority. That is the only issue with the pledge. Now, I think we all agree there are no pledges on this apartment. I understand your Honor's reticence about a Chinese dissident, a Chinese national dissident coming to the United States and trying to avoid judgements that the court may enter by taking money that's in this country and removing it from the country. I may not agree with your Honor about this, but I understand the reticence that the court has. But your Honor fashioned a remedy for the plaintiffs that the plaintiff didn't even ask for. You granted an order. You entered an order that required Mr. Kwok, Genever, whoever owns the apartment, it's titled in Genever, to notify the plaintiff if he entered into a contract of sale. And so that they could then take action

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to protection themselves. Your Honor suggested to them that they amend their complaint add the proper party and seek a preliminary injunction. And they didn't do that. I have to ask so it's a year now almost a year since we were since they made their second attachment motion, more than a year since we answered the complaint and we still haven't gotten to merit discovery on this case. It's still all about securing the judgment that they don't have, that they if they are right that it's that straightforward, that we could have just done merit discovery, gotten to the issues, entered a judgment and they could execute on the We haven't done that and it's dead. spent all this times trying to attach a piece of property that your Honor has already fashioned a remedy for to protect them. And there is whatever evidence they say they've obtained in the post order discovery, whatever that is, whatever that is, it doesn't go to the questions that your Honor presented. Can you show me that the money that was used to buy the apartment was money that came from the plaintiffs? Can you show that the attempts to put the apartment on the market

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

constitutes and demonstrates an attempt to defraud the plaintiff? You know, your Honor, it's a coop in the city of New York. There is no way that the Sherry Netherlands is going to approve a new purchaser without going through the entire process and now the Sherry Netherlands is on notice that there is an order in place because the plaintiffs made sure of that. Nothing wrong with letting the Sherry know once we the order is entered in, but there has been countless discussion and cooperation between counsel for the Sherry and counsel for PAX. It is inconceivable that your Honor's order would ever be ignored, could be ignored, given the logistics of how a cooperative apartment gets sold. I say as a practical matter the very notion that buying a high profile apartment in New York is an effort to secret and hide assets is just anathema to me. Your Honor, I think we're in the same place we were before when your Honor issued the first order. That order is It protects the plaintiffs fully. in place. Nothing has happened since that order was entered to change the dynamic of where we are. get to merit discovery and get this case resolved.

Proceedings 1 2 And I'm happy to answer any questions you have. 3 MR. MOSS: Just briefly, your Honor. THE COURT: Very briefly. 4 MR. MOSS: Very briefly. A few points. 5 6 No evidence that the money came from us. 7 testified at his deposition that there was a representative relationship between Shiny Times 8 and Genever, like when you buy a house there is an 9 10 agent. So that's the evidence. It's not my 11 evidence. He said it at his deposition. 12 of the notice order that's out right now, we 13 understood that that would be an interim order 14 first of all. Second of all, he didn't even say 15 that he would abide by it Mr. Kwok. I asked him 16 in deposition if he would abide by it. 17 instructed him not to answer that he would abide 18 by it. THE COURT: Your adversary's point is 19 20 well taken. Sherry Netherlands is on notice of 21 the order. There is not going to be any transfer 22 of the apartment without you getting notice. 23 I don't think that the Sherry Netherlands is going 24 to transfer the apartment any way without 25 acquiescence by the court.

Proceedings

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOSS: Well, your Honor, the transfer the apartment is only one part of the He have could transfer the holding company. He could transfer Genever which is actually the owner of the apartment on paper to somebody else. And then we would be out of luck because somebody else would be the owner of the apartment. Right now, your Honor, we have met the standard for an attachment. We have proven that what he's trying to do with all of these misrepresentations about the corporate funds that he is trying to shield this apartment from us. tried to assign it to the trust in the son's name. They say he never followed up on it. It never went away. That's not true. He did follow up on He sent more emails saying I'd like to change the contact person to my son and the Sherry Netherlands witness told me that he thought Mr. Kwok was trying to end around their position that he couldn't assign the actual apartment. And so he did follow up on it. We have no idea what Mr. Kwok is doing because they brought all discovery into it. We've no idea what he might be doing to the apartment. He's pledged to two other

Proceedings 1 2 entities. So already he could pledge again and 3 there may be a priority for somebody else. that we've met the standard, your Honor, we respectfully request that you we'll be left holding a paper judgment at this point if there is 6 7 not a property interest that is entered for us on this property. 8 9 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, can I respond. 10 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. 11 MR. HARMON: This notion that Mr. Kwok 12 could transfer Genever has never been raised 13 It's not an argument that they ever made before that's problematic to them. They harp on 14 the fact that Mr. Kwok did not say in his 15 deposition yes I will abide by the order. 16 it was as simple as Mr. Kwok saying -- if Mr. Kwok 17 could answer that question yes of course I'll 18 abide by the order, it's inconceivable to me 19 20 plaintiff would say that's great, we're all done, we're satisfied now. If that's what it takes to 21 satisfy them, for Mr. Kwok say of course I will 22 23 abide by the order, I will have Mr. Kwok do 24 whatever your Honor says to say, yes I will comply 25 with the order of this court. That's not what

31 Proceedings 1 2 we're doing here. That's not what drives this. If it were that simple it would have been resolved 3 a long time ago. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. MR. MOSS: Just on the point where they 6 7 say we never raised the issue of wanting an attachment of Genever. In any event on page 26 of 8 our brief we ask the court enter an order 9 attachment of residence the Genever entities and 10 11 any sale or proceeds from --12 MR. HARMON: The Genever entities aren't 13 party to the action. THE COURT: The Genever is not a party 14 15 to this action. This is what I'm going to do 16 because while the fact pattern here is very 17 problematic. I don't think the plaintiff has 18 followed up on comments made to prior oral argument or the June 28th, 2018 order. I'm going 19 20 to order an evidentiary hearing March 28th, at 9:30 and we'll resolve this issue whatever factual 21 record the parties present on March 28th. 22 23 that's the order of the court. 24 COURT OFFICER: Please order a copy of 25 the transcript.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/21/2019 02:41 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017 PM INDEX NO. 652077/2017

	32
1	Proceedings
2	MR. MOSS: Thank you, your Honor.
3	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
4	Certified to be a true and accurate
5	transcript of the original stenographic notes.
6	
7	JACQUELINE CAMPBELL
8	SENIOR COURT REPORTER
9	v
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
23	
1	

			15:25		
	actual - 29:21 add [2] 2:17, 26:3	22:7, 22:24, 24:19, 27:2	closing - 12:5	14:6, 14:11, 14:17, 15:4, 20:24, 21:3,	9:19, 24:25 establish = 2:20
1	additional - 7:22	attempted - 13:20 attempting [2] 2:9,	Coley - 5:25 comes [3] 9:25,	21:21, 22:13, 22:14 debts - 8:2	estate [2] 7:18, 13:3
	administrator -	4:11	9:25, 12:20	decades - 12:15	evading - 21:22
10 - 15:22 10036 - 1:15	18:24 admitted - 12:21	attempts - 26:25 attention - 15:15	coming - 25:14 comments - 31:18	decided - 24:5 deciding - 3:12	Evasiveness - 5:24 event - 31:8
10158 - 1:18	adversary's - 28:19	Attorney [2] 1:13,	commit - 5:17	decision [4] 2:2.	everybody - 23:6
10th - 11:3 11 - 16:11	affidavit [8] 6:4, 16:24, 17:10,	1:17 avail - 3:19	communicating - 23:25	2:4, 13:13, 25:6 deck [3] 5:15, 8:25,	everything [2] 3:8, 10:2
12 [4] 16:10, 16:19,	18:19, 18:20,	awailahla - G.16	communists - 15:14	10:3	evidence [29] 2:13,
16:23, 17:3 12th - 18:10	18:24, 20:17, 21:11 against - 1:4	availing - 15:18 Avenue - 1:18	companies [8] 4:23,	defendant [3] 1:17, 21:25, 24:12	4:6, 4:24, 5:12, 5:18, 6:2, 8:9,
13 [2] 17:13, 18:3	agent - 28:10	avoid [2] 2:10,	7:2, 7:4, 11:16, 11:22, 11:22,	defendants [3] 1:7,	8:16, 8:17, 8:18,
14 [2] 17:13, 18:13 15 - 19:6	agree [2] 25:11, 25:17	25:14	1 12:16, 12:19	7:11, 8:5 definitive - 6:19	9:18, 9:20, 9:21,
16 [2] 19:11, 19:11 17 - 19:21	agreed [2] 25:8,		7:6, 7:8, 11:20,	defraud [5] 4:11,	9:24, 10:3, 10:6, 13:14, 13:15,
18 [2] 20:7, 20:12	25:9 agreement [2] 10:8,	В	12:10, 13:22, 16:22, 29:5	5:10, 22:7, 22:24, 27:2	7 20:10. 20:22. I
19 - 20:19	14:21	Baby - 6:18	complaining - 6:17	defrauding - 8:8	22:15, 22:19, 23:10, 23:18,
	ahead - 30:10 Alliance [2] 1:2,	background - 10:7	complaint [3] 2:17, 26:3, 26:8	demonstrates - 27:2 demonstrative [3]	24:13, 26:19, 28:6, 28:10, 28:11
2	2:4	balance - 11:23 BARRY - 1:10	completely - 8:17	3:10. 3:20. 3:24	evidentiary - 31:20
2011 - 10:9	Allman [2] 24:4, 24:8	base - 6:4	comply - 30:24 conceal - 23:7	denied - 14:16 deposed - 18:25	exactly - 11:11 excuse - 16:11
2014 - 11:3	allow [2] 21:20,	bastions - 23:14 become - 7:7	conceded - 24:8 concluded - 8:3	deposition [15]	execute - 26:14 existence [2] 14:17,
2015 [3] 10:20, 18:14, 18:15	24:6 already [5] 9:10,	behalf = 13:5	conditions [4]	11:21, 12:22, 13:12, 14:16,	25:5
2016 - 13:15	11:25, 12:18, 26:17, 30:2	Beijing - 10:12 believes - 2:6	10:17, 11:2, 11:4, 14:19	14:20, 15:6, 17:7, 17:12, 19:15, 20:5,	expensive - 22:21 explain - 8:24
2017 - 18:16 2018 [4] 2:3, 18:8,	amend - 26:3	benefit - 9:15 beyond - 16:3	conduct - 5:21	20:13, 28:7, 28:11,	extending - 10:18
18:10, 31:19	amending - 2:17 amiss - 23:11	bit [2] 15:21, 17:2	connection - 15:9 Connelly - 11:19	28:16, 30:16 depositions - 17:22	extension - 11:3
2019 - 1:8 24 - 7:9	amount [2] 11:11,	black - 9:13 board [11] 11:12,	constitutes [2]	developments - 9:22	
26 - 31:8	15:2 anathema = 27:19	11:13. 11:15.	22:23, 27:2 contact - 29:18	digging - 17:22 directors - 23:13	F
27th - 18:7 28th [4] 2:3, 31:19,	and/or [2] 2:8, 2:13	11:17, 12:3, 23:13,	contained - 3:5	discovery [16] 2:7.	facility - 15:2
31:20, 31:22	answered - 26:8 anticipated - 9:23	11:17, 12:3, 23:13, 23:15, 23:19, 23:19, 24:5, 24:7	contested - 6:9 context - 16:17	2:13, 4:3, 4:5, 4:20, 5:6, 9:16, 16:4, 16:5, 16:16,	factual [2] 18:23,
	apartment [49] 7:2,	bogus - 6:4 Boies - 6:11	continuing - 4:19	16:4, 16:5, 16:16,	31:21 failed [3] 8:15,
3	7:4, 10:14, 12:2, 12:23, 13:16,	borrowed - 24:15	contract [2] 16:13, 25:24	16:19, 26:9, 26:13, 26:20, 27:25, 29:23	20:24, 21:16
3 - 5:14	13:17, 13:20, 13:24, 14:4, 16:21,	borrower - 14:24 Bravo - 22:10	contradictory - 6:3 control - 17:13	discretion - 6:19 discretionary -	fails [2] 2:5, 11:8 failure [4] 6:2,
30 [2] 14:21, 14:25	1/:9, 1/:0, 1/:/,	breaching - 3:6	controlled - 17:11	21:18	6:24, 6:25, 11:14 fairly - 21:16
	17:20, 18:14, 19:7, 19:9, 19:24, 20:2,	break - 13:6 brief [4] 5:14,	convince - 21:2 coop [3] 23:18,	discussion = 27:11 disposed [2] 4:13,	fairness - 21:19
4	20:11, 22:6, 22:9.	7:10, 22:2, 31:9	23:19, 27:3	4:17	false [2] 12:3, 20:25
	22:16, 22:22, 23:5, 23:5, 23:8, 24:6,	briefly [5] 5:22, 14:15, 28:3, 28:4,	cooperation - 27:12 cooperative [2]	dissatisfied - 24:5 dissident [2] 25:13,	family - 20:2
4 - 6:14	24:7, 24:15, 24:16,	28:5 briefs [2] 3:8, 9:25	23:12. 27:15	25:13	fashioned [2] 25:19, 26:17
	24:18, 24:20, 24:23, 25:11,	bringing - 8:4 British - 18:5	copies [2] 19:20, 20:18	document [2] 14:24, 18:8	favor [2] 3:11, 4:13
5	25:23, 26:23,	British - 18:5 brought [2] 22:21,	corp - 7:17 corporate [5] 6:16,	documents [5] 9:12,	featured - 8:14 February [2] 10:20,
5 - 7:10	26:25, 27:16, 27:18, 28:22,	29:23	6:24, 19:14, 24:10, 29:12	19:14, 19:17, 20:15, 20:16	11:3
50 - 24:9	27:18, 28:22, 28:24, 29:3, 29:6, 29:9, 29:13, 29:21,	buildings - 23:12 bunch - 15:12	29:12 corporation [4]	dollars [3] 11:11, 14:25, 23:4	feel - 22:3 figure - 16:5
	29:25	burden - 2:6	7:14, 7:16, 8:2,	dominated - 8:6	figured - 15:16 filed - 17:18
6	apartments [6] 10:11, 10:13,	buying - 27:17 buys - 12:11	12:13 corporations - 19:18	door - 8:5 drives - 31:2	filing [3] 18:5,
- 1004	10:15, 10:23,	BVI (6) 7:17, 11:8, 12:12, 13:18,	correct [5] 3:7,	drop [2] 13:19,	18:12, 19:7 finds [2] 15:24,
6 - 8:12 60 - 1:9	11:10, 24:9 APPEARANCES - 1:12	13:19, 17:11, 17:12, 18:12	4:2, 19:14, 19:20, 20:17	13:25 dropped - 13:16	15:25
605 - 1:18	appears - 19:13	17:12, 10:12	couldn't - 29:21 counsel [3] 6:12,	drops - 13:16	firm - 6:11 flees - 10:22
61 - 1:1 62013 - 4:9	applied [2] 7:19, 7:20		27:12, 27:13	due [4] 14:6, 14:11, 20:24, 21:3	Florida [3] 7:13,
65 - 23:3 652077-17 - 1:4	approach - 2:24 approval [3] 11:12,		counsel's - 3:16 countless - 27:11	dynamic - 27:24	7:20, 7:20 focus [3] 5:7,
67.5 - 11:10	11:13, 11:15	CAMPBELL [2] 1:22,	COUNTY - 1:1	10	14:11, 14:12 follow (2) 29:16,
	approve [3] 21:3, 23:10, 27:5	32:7	couple - 13:14 course [3] 17:12,	E	29:22
7	approved [2] 23:21,	can't (2) 17:19, 20:8	30:18, 30:22	EDWARD - 1:15	followed [2] 29:15, 31:18
	24:7 aren't - 31:12	capitalise [5] 6:25, 7:3, 11:8, 11:14,	court [45] 1:1, 1:11, 1:23, 2:2,	effect [2] 8:8, 18:7	foreign [5] 7:8,
7 [2] 1:14, 10:2	argue - 22:21	20:25	1:11, 1:23, 2:2, 2:2, 2:6, 3:3, 3:10, 3:15, 5:24,	effort - 27:18 efforts - 11:9	7:16, 7:17, 12:13, 21:6
	argument [10] 8:14, 14:18, 15:3, 17:17,	case [11] 5:25, 6:12, 6:18, 7:9,	6:5, 6:9, 7:19,	egregious - 14:14	formalities - 6:24
8	14:18, 15:3, 17:17, 18:4, 18:14, 19:22, 20:8, 30:13, 31:19	9:22, 13:11, 15:11,	7:19, 8:3, 9:6, 9:9, 10:2, 15:20,	either [4] 11:9, 16:15, 19:16, 20:25 element - 7:22	formed [5] 7:2, 7:13, 7:16, 8:6,
8 (3) 1:8, 14:16,	arguments - 3:5	15:15, 16:12, 26:9, 27:25	15:24, 15:25,	element - 7:22 emails - 29:17	20:22 former - 6:11
14:21	Asia [2] 1:2, 2:5 asset - 5:19	cases [3] 3:8, 5:13,	15:24, 15:25, 16:17, 16:21, 17:9, 17:14, 17:18, 18:3,	employee [2] 16:25,	forms - 11:7 forum [2] 6:16,
	assats [12] 6:8,	5:14 center - 20:7	19:4, 19:8, 20:4,	16:25 enables - 8:11	forum [2] 6:16, 24:11
9	8:7, 10:21, 10:22, 10:24, 11:16,	centerpiece - 18:21 Centre - 1:9	21:9, 25:6, 25:15, 25:19, 28:4, 28:19,	encumbered [3] 4:14,	forward - 15:8
0 (21 15.7 15.10	11:24, 17:24, 17:25, 22:25, 23:3,	Certainly - 22:17	28:25, 30:10,	4:18, 4:25 ending - 8:24	fraud [4] 5:15, 5:17, 6:22, 7:22
9 (2) 15:7, 15:10 9:30 - 31:21	27:19	Certified = 32:4 chance = 3:13	30:25, 31:5, 31:9, 31:14, 31:23,	enforcement [4]	fraudulent [2] 2:9,
	assign [4] 4:21, 4:22, 29:14, 29:21	change [3] 24:23,	31:24, 32:8 court's [3] 3:23,	2:10, 4:12, 5:9, 5:11	11:15 fruitful - 4:5
A	assigned [2] 4:13,	27:24, 29:17 chapter - 17:5	3:25, 23:24	enjoining - 2:19 enter [2] 25:15,	frustrate [5] 4:11,
	4:17 assistance - 24:3	chased - 13:18	courts [3] 5:20, 6:23, 15:19	31:9	5:8, 5:11, 16:6, 16:8
a/k/a [7] 1:5, 1:5, 1:6, 1:6, 1:6, 1:6,	assists - 3:12	China - 13:18 Chinese [6] 10:21,	covered - 12:18	entered [7] 10:8, 25:21, 25:24,	fully - 27:22 fund (2) 1:2, 2:5
1:6	assumed [2] 22:12, 22:14	11:16, 11:25, 14:24, 25:13, 25:13	CPLR [3] 4:9, 6:21, 16:7	25:21, 25:24, 26:14, 27:10, 27:23, 30:7	fundamentally -
abandoned [2] 24:25, 25:3	assuming [2] 2:19,	circumstances - 5:16	orasy - 15:11	27:23, 30:7 enters - 15:20	21:20 funding - 20:2
abida [8] 16:13,	3:3 asylum - 15:17	circumstantial - 5:18	created - 22:20 creditor - 22:7	enters - 15:20 entire - 27:6	funds [4] 19:22,
16:16, 28:15, 28:16, 28:17,	attach [2] 2:15, 26:16	cited [4] 3:8, 3:9,	creditors [5] 4:11, 8:7, 14:5, 20:23,	entities [8] 11:7, 19:16, 20:23,	19:23, 19:25, 29:12
30:16, 30:19, 30:23	attached - 20:17	5:14, 9:24 city [6] 7:18,	22:24	19:16, 20:23, 21:10, 21:12, 30:2, 31:10, 31:12	G
able [2] 10:25, 11:5 absolutely - 21:13	attaches [2] 19:13, 19:19	22:22, 23:6, 23:13, 23:14, 27:4		entity [11] 11:8,	
abuse - 6:14	attachment [16] 2:6,	claim [2] 2:15, 23:2	D	entity [11] 11:8, 11:8, 12:23, 13:10, 19:12, 21:6, 22:10.	game - 15:5
accurate - 32:4 acquiescence - 28:25	2:22, 4:10, 16:4, 16:18, 16:19,	Claims - 6:22 clarification - 13:7		19:12, 21:6, 22:10, 22:13, 22:15,	games - 14:4 gave [3] 9:9, 19:23,
act - 23:19 action [5] 2:11,	16:18, 16:19, 17:15, 17:19,	cleaned - 13:14	dead - 26:15 deadline - 10:10	23:22, 24:14 especially - 7:7	22:18
20:3, 25:25, 31:13,	17:20, 18:22, 19:5, 25:7, 26:7, 29:10, 31:8, 31:10	clear [2] 4:18, 8:24 clearly [2] 21:21,	deadlines - 10:17	ESQ [4] 1:15, 1:16,	Genever [24] 2:8, 2:13, 11:7, 12:23,
31:15 acts - 4:16	31:8, 31:10 attempt [5] 2:16,	22:8	debt [13] 5:21, 6:10, 7:7, 7:12,	1:19, 1:19 essentially [2]	12:25, 17:11,
		client [2] 15:24,			17:12, 17:25,

17:25, 19:10, 19:12,	including (2) 5:3, 6:10	15:24, 16:21 learned [4] 4:20,	24:10, 24:22, 24:24, 27:5, 27:7,	14:8, 16:23, 20:21, 20:22	
20:22, 21:10,	incoherent - 6:3	4:24, 11:14, 17:21	28:20, 28:23, 29:19	plaintiff [141 1:13.	R
21:12, 24:6, 25:22, 25:23, 28:9, 29:5,	inconceivable [3] 23:15, 27:13, 30:19	lent [2] 10:9, 12:24 letting - 27:9	nobody [2] 20:6, 23:7	2:12, 2:16, 2:20, 7:23, 8:7, 22:17,	
30:12, 31:8, 31:10,	incurring - 5:21	level - 22:9	noted [2] 3:15, 7:20	22:17, 24:15,	raise - 5:16
31:12, 31:14 Genever's - 2:15	Index - 1:4 individual - 8:3	liability - 7:6	notes - 32:5 nothing [6] 3:4,	25:20, 25:24, 27:3, 30:20, 31:17	raised [3] 25:5, 30:12, 31:7
gets - 27:16	industry - 12:15	lien [2] 18:4, 18:6	3:17, 19:6, 24:11,	plaintiff's - 8:18	real [2] 7:18, 13:3
gift - 14:2 given [3] 14:22,	inequity - 6:22 infer - 5:18	liens - 10:16 likely - 21:7	27:9, 27:23 notice [5] 7:24,	plaintiffs [6] 1:3, 8:8, 25:20, 26:24,	reality - 8:18 reason - 17:16
27:15, 30:3	inferred - 5:15	liquidated - 13:19	27:7, 28:12, 28:20,	27:8, 27:22	receive - 14:25
gives - 11:3 goes [3] 8:19,	inform - 16:12 information [3]	litigation - 15:9	28:22	play - 15:5 playing - 14:4	recommended - 11:18 record - 31:22
16:22, 17:4	9:17, 11:13, 21:2	living - 15:17 LLC [3] 7:17, 12:11,	notify - 25:24 noting - 3:13	Please - 31:24	red - 9:19
gonna [5] 5:16, 7:7,	injunction [2] 2:18, 26:4	12:12 LLC's - 21:5	notion [2] 27:17, 30:11	pled = 5:2 pledge [11] 17:24,	relating - 19:17 relationship [2]
10:25, 15:5, 15:19 gotten [2] 26:9,	injustice - 6:17	LLP - 1:14	NY [2] 1:15, 1:18	17:24, 18:9, 18:11,	13:2, 28:0
26:13	ink - 9:13	loan [3] 10:8, 20:3, 22:14		18:16, 20:10,	released [3] 18:10, 18:12, 18:16
government [2] 10:21, 11:25	inquired - 24:21 inquiring - 13:22	loaned [2] 22:12,	0	20:11, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 30:2	relevant [3] 8:23,
granted - 25:21 grounds - 4:10	inquiry [2] 6:20, 24:21	24:14 loans - 24:17		pledged [11] 14:3,	8:25, 19:4 relief - 2:20
GUE - 1:6	inserted - 9:3	logistics = 27:15	O'MELVENY - 1:14	17:20, 17:25, 18:15, 18:15, 18:17, 18:18, 20:8,	remedy [31 21:18.
GUI [2] 1:5, 1:6 GUO [3] 1:6, 1:6,	instructed [2] 16:2, 28:17	LP - 21:8 luck [2] 22:10, 29:7	objection - 9:24 observe - 6:24	18:17, 18:18, 20:8, 20:12, 20:12, 29:25	25:19, 26:18 remove - 4:15
1:6	intact - 18:4	luxury [2] 23:5,	obtain [2] 12:2,	pledges [6] 5:6, 17:15, 17:16,	removing - 25:16 rendered - 4:13
guys - 15:12 GWO - 1:5	intend - 16:6 intended - 16:8	23:5	24:3 obtained (2) 11:15,	17:15, 17:16, 20:14, 21:10, 25:11	renew - 2:12
1.5	intends - 5:8		26:19	point [5] 13:12,	renewed - 2:21
H	intent [5] 2:9, 2:14, 5:7, 5:10,	M	OFFICER - 31:24 onto - 8:9	22:6, 28:19, 30:6, 31:6	repayment - 10:10 Reporter [2] 1:23,
	5:11	maffa = 15:12	onto - 8:9 open - 9:13	points [2] 13:15,	32:8
hallmark - 22:25	interest [4] 17:4, 18:25, 19:7, 30:7	mafia - 15:13 makes - 19:11	opening - 7:10 opportunity [3] 1:2,	28:5 position [2] 22:18,	representation - 3:16
Hamptons - 7:14	interim - 28:13	making - 18:2	2:5, 3:19	29:20	representations [2]
handed - 9:4 handout - 9:2	International [2] 13:9, 13:10	malfeasance [2] 6:22, 7:22	oppose - 19:24 opposition - 18:21	post - 26:19 practical [2] 22:8,	19:12, 21:11 representative [2]
HAOYUN [2] 1:6, 1:6 happen - 24:25	irrefutable [2]	manager - 24:4	oral - 31:18	27:16	12:25, 28:8
happened [4] 14:12,	8:20, 8:21 irrelevant - 14:10	March [4] 1:8, 18:16, 31:20, 31:22	order [31] 2:22, 3:23, 3:25, 7:23,	Precise - 11:11 precisely - 8:25	request - 30:5 require - 6:21
14:13, 14:14, 27:23 happy [2] 22:2, 28:2	island - 18:5	18:16, 31:20, 31:22 MARIE - 1:19 Mark [2] 1:19, 21:25	13:24, 14:5, 16:11, 16:14, 16:17,	preconditions -	required [3] 5:9,
Harmon [13] 1:19,	issuance - 23:24 issue [5] 20:3,	market [2] 22:23,	23:25, 25:21,	10:13 prejudgment - 2:21	5:10, 25:22 requiring - 7:21
2:25, 3:7, 3:11, 3:21, 9:7, 18:5,	25:7, 25:10, 31:7,	26:25 matter [2] 25:8,	25:22, 26:20, 27:8, 27:10, 27:14,	preliminary [3]	residence [7] 2:10, 2:19, 4:20, 20:23,
21:24, 21:25, 30:9,	31:21 issued [3] 2:2,	27:16	27:21, 27:21,	2:18, 8:14, 26:4 premiere - 23:12	21:6, 21:8, 31:10
21:24, 21:25, 30:9, 30:11, 31:12, 32:3 harness [2] 12:7,	16:17, 27:21	maybe - 11:24	27:23, 28:12, 28:13, 28:21,	present - 31:22	residency - 5:2 residents - 7:15
12:8	issues - 26:13	meaning - 18:2 meant - 21:19	30:16, 30:19,	presented - 26:22 preserve - 2:16	resolve - 31:21
harp - 30:14 hastily - 23:10	-	meet [3] 2:5, 8:11, 21:16	30:23, 30:25, 31:9,	pressured [2] 12:3,	resolved [2] 27:25, 31:3
haven't [3] 3:13,	J	meeting - 13:5	31:19, 31:20, 31:23, 31:24	23:16 pretenses - 12:3	respect - 7:23
26:9, 26:15	JACQUELINE [2] 1:22,	merit [3] 26:9, 26:13, 27:25	ordered - 4:3 orders - 15:25	price [4] 13:16,	respectfully - 30:5 respond - 30:9
having - 18:3 he'll - 16:16	32:7	messages [2] 15:8,	ordinarily - 5:15	13:17, 13:20, 13:25 principal - 14:25	responded - 24:24
he's [13] 4:18,	January - 10:19	15:16	original [2] 15:2,	prior [3] 14:17,	response - 15:16
4:19, 4:25, 5:2, 10:25, 11:4, 14:17,	judge - 17:20 judgement - 16:8	met [3] 21:17, 29:9, 30:4	32:4 OSTRAGER - 1:10	23:24, 31:18 priority [3] 25:8,	reticence [2] 25:12, 25:18
15:5, 15:17, 15:18,	judgements - 25:15	MILES - 1:6	outside [2] 16:15,	25:9, 30:3	reversal - 7:25
15:19, 29:11, 29:25 hear - 2:22	judgment [11] 2:11, 4:12, 5:9, 15:19,	million [4] 11:10, 14:21, 14:25, 23:4	16:18 owa = 14:19	privilege - 6:15 problem - 29:4	risk - 15:21 road - 22:4
heard - 13:11	15:20, 16:6, 21:21,	misrepresentatio [6]	owed [3] 7:7, 7:12,	problematic [2]	rules [2] 6:19, 15:6
hearing [3] 16:9, 25:4, 31:20 heart [3] 8:19,	26:10, 26:14, 26:15, 30:6	14:7, 16:20, 17:14, 17:23, 18:2, 29:12	11:11 owes - 21:21	30:14, 31:17 proceed - 2:7	RUSS - 1:17
heart [3] 8:19,	JULLIAN - 1:19	misrepresented -	owing - 21:4	proceedings - 5:24	S
14:7, 14:8 heavy - 2:5 held - 18:5	June [6] 2:3, 11:4, 13:15, 18:7, 18:10,	21:9 missed [2] 10:10,	owned [5] 11:17, 11:20, 23:21, 24:8,	process [2] 11:15,	
held - 18:5 hide - 27:19	31:19 jurisdiction [2]	10:16 money [26] 7:3, 7:5,	24:10 owner [2] 29:6, 29:8	27:6	salient - 10:6
himself [2] 15:18,	6:8, 6:10	10:9, 11:6, 12:8,	owners - 24:9	produce - 6:2 profile [2] 23:5,	SARMOFF - 1:16
21:21 EO [2] 1:5, 1:5	Justice - 1:11	12:18, 12:20,	ownership [3] 17:4, 19:7, 24:23	27:17	satisfactory - 10:25 satisfied [2] 14:18,
HODGSON - 1:17	72	12:22, 12:24, 14:19, 14:22, 20:4, 20:6, 21:7, 22:9,	owns [6] 17:5, 19:9,	promote - 21:19 prong - 5:7	30:21
holding [8] 4:22, 7:25, 12:10, 12:16,	K	20:6, 21:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:16,	19:10, 19:12, 19:15, 25:23	proof [2] 21:21,	satisfy [4] 10:17, 11:4, 11:5, 30:22
13:22, 16:22, 29:4,	Kane [2] 7:9, 7:11	22:18, 23:4, 23:7,		22:11 proper - 26:4	11:4, 11:5, 30:22 saying [2] 29:17, 30:17
30:6 EON - 1:10	kept ~ 10:18	24:12, 24:14, 25:16, 26:22,	P	property [6] 2:16,	says [4] 6:18,
Hong [4] 13:9, 13:9,	knowledge [3] 6:6, 20:14, 21:13	26:23, 28:6	-	4:14, 23:21, 26:17, 30:7, 30:8	14:24, 15:3, 30:24 scamming - 15:12
22:10, 23:4 Bonor [40] 2:23,	20:14, 21:13 known - 14:6	months [2] 13:17, 23:24	Pacific [2] 1:2, 2:4	protect [2] 21:6, 26:18	scenario - 22:20
2:24. 3:1. 3:12.	knows [4] 6:9, 6:15, 10:24, 18:6	morning - 3:23	packet [4] 2:24, 3:4, 3:17, 9:5	protected - 8:7	Schiller - 6:11 scope [3] 16:4,
3:21, 3:22, 4:2, 4:3, 5:13, 6:15,	Kong [4] 13:9,	Noss [10] 1:15, 2:23, 3:22, 9:11,	papers [6] 3:18,	protection - 26:2 protects - 27:22	16:16, 16:18
8:13, 8:25, 9:8,	13:10, 22:10, 23:4 Kwok [28] 1:5, 1:5,	24:20, 28:3, 28:5,	5:4, 6:18, 8:22, 10:4, 14:17	prove [4] 4:6, 4:7,	searched - 15:9 SEARLES - 1:19
15:5, 16:10, 18:6, 20:9, 20:19, 21:18,	1:6, 4:10, 5:8,	29:2, 31:6, 32:2 mostly - 9:16	paragraph - 2:3	4:9, 7:23 proven - 29:10	Secrecy - 5:19 secret - 27:18
21:23. 21:24.	1:6, 4:10, 5:8, 5:16, 7:15, 7:16, 10:9, 14:16, 15:3,	motion [15] 2:12,	parked = 12:8 parties [4] 2:18,	provides - 2:13	secreted [2] 4:14,
22:20, 23:23, 25:4, 25:7, 25:17, 25:19, 26:2, 26:17, 26:21,	15:22, 16:6, 17:10,	2:21, 3:18, 6:7, 16:4, 16:18, 16:19,	5:5, 10:8, 31:22	public - 23:3 publicly - 9:16	4:18
26:2, 26:17, 26:21,	21:20, 22:21, 23:16, 24:12,	17:14, 17:15,	party [6] 5:4, 6:17, 14:4, 26:4, 31:13,	purchase [10] 7:18,	secreting [2] 22:25, 23:2
27:3, 27:19, 27:21, 28:3, 29:2, 29:9, 30:4, 30:9, 30:24,	25:22, 28:15,	17:18, 17:19, 18:21, 18:22, 19:5,	31:14	13:4, 19:25, 21:3, 22:5, 22:16, 23:10,	secretive - 23:2
30:4, 30:9, 30:24, 32:2	29:20, 29:23, 30:11, 30:15,	26:7 mounting - 6:10	pattern [2] 5:21, 31:16	23:20, 24:6, 24:18	securing - 26:10 seek [2] 3:19, 26:4
Honor's [2] 25:12,	1 30 - 17 30 - 17	BOVA - 8:9	Paul - 11:18	purchased - 23:22 purchaser - 27:6	seeking [3] 2:18,
27:14 host - 14:7	30:22, 30:23 Kwok's [6] 2:8, 2:14, 10:20, 16:25,	MYERS - 1:14 myself - 3:19	PAX [5] 8:15, 21:8, 22:7, 23:25, 27:13 pay [5] 7:13, 15:14,	purchasing - 2:9	15:17, 16:5 seel - 2:10
houses - 13:3	2:14, 10:20, 16:25,	mystery - 23:7	pay [5] 7:13, 15:14,	purported - 2:8 purpose - 12:12	seel - 2:10 seized [4] 10:21,
	17:3, 18:25		15:19, 16:2, 16:2 pendency - 5:3	putting [2] 13:22,	10:23, 10:24, 11:25 Senior [2] 1:23,
I	T	N	pendency - 5:3 percent - 24:9	23:3	1 32:8
	L	I ———	performed - 18:3 perpetrate - 6:16		sent - 29:17 settle - 10:11
idea [4] 3:2, 20:6,	L.P - 1:2	national - 25:13	personal [2] 6:6,	Q	settlement [2] 11:2,
29:22, 29:24 ignored [2] 27:14,	lack - 5:8	necessarily - 6:21 needs [2] 11:12,	21:13 Phat - 6:18	quickly [3] 12:4,	14:19 shareholder - 17:10
27:15	later [2] 11:7, 17:2 law [2] 7:20, 7:20	11:13	phony - 21:11 piece [2] 20:7,	18:19, 23:20	shares [2] 17:6,
ignoring - 8:17 illegitimate - 15:23	laws - 15:18	negotiates - 11:2 Netherlands [17]	26:16	quo - 2:17 quote [2] 12:25,	20:12 shed - 2:7
impacted - 25:6 include - 10:25	lawauit [8] 5:3, 14:9, 14:10, 14:12,	11:24, 12:5, 12:14, 17:8, 22:6, 23:9,	pieces - 4:24 pierce - 6:14	15:10	shedding - 11:10 sheat - 11:23
included - 9:4	14:13, 14:15,	23:11, 24:2, 24:4,	piercing [5] 2:15,		ahell [7] 6:25, 7:2,
	·	·			

	T.		 	1
7:4, 7:5, 7:8, 8:6,		18:13 wanting = 31:7		
12:19	T	wanting = 31:7 we'll [3] 10:12,		
Sherry [20] 11:23, 12:5, 12:14, 17:8,		30:5, 31:21 we're [8] 4:2, 5:9,		
22:6, 22:6, 23:9,	taken [2] 4:2, 28:20 takes - 30:21	5:10, 22:3, 27:20, 30:20, 30:21, 31:2		
24:10. 24:22.	taking [2] 17:22,	wa'va [41 21:17.		
24:24, 27:5, 27:7, 27:10, 27:12,	25:15 tells - 12:5	22:4, 29:24, 30:4 Weiss - 11:18		
28:20, 28:23, 29:18	terms [4] 5:13, 6:13, 7:21, 28:11	WEN [2] 1:5, 1:6		
Sherry-netherlan - 21:2	testified [5] 15:22,	WENGUI - 1:6 weren't - 10:16		
shield [8] 7:6,	17:9, 18:23, 21:7, 28:7	what's [4] 3:2,		
7:18, 11:6, 12:14, 13:24, 14:5, 20:23,	testifies - 17:2	3:14, 3:17, 24:2 whatever [6] 24:17,		
29:13	testimony - 6:2 text [2] 15:8, 15:16	26:18, 26:20,		
Shiny [10] 10:9, 12:24, 12:25, 13:8,	thank [6] 2.23,	26:20, 30:24, 31:21 whether [5] 5:8.		
12:24, 12:25, 13:8, 21:7, 22:12, 22:12,	3:22, 21:23, 21:24, 32:2, 32:3	whether [5] 5:8, 16:16, 18:4, 22:5,		
22:13, 22:14, 28:8 showed = 11:23	themselves - 26:2	25:5 whoever - 25:22		
showing - 11:16 shows [2] 15:4,	thereby - 8:8 therein - 8:3	whom - 22:18 Williams - 11:19		
22:11	they've - 26:19 thing [2] 4:9, 6:13	wit - 11:9		
signature - 14:23 signed - 14:21	thinks [2] 15:4,	witness [3] 12:14, 20:10, 29:19		
significant (2)	15:11 third [5] 1:18, 5:4,	wouldn't - 16:9 writing - 11:18		
8:18, 12:21 signing - 12:4	5:5, 12:20, 14:3	writing - 11:18 wrong [2] 6:17, 27:9		
simple [3] 25:2,	third-party - 20:2 though - 19:19	wrongdoing - 6:21		
30:17, 31:3 simply - 3:13	thugs - 15:13 ties - 24:13	77		
sited - 7:9 sizable - 11:23	Time's - 10:9	Y		
skip - 3:24	timing - 8:4 title - 10:14	York [20] 1:1, 1:1,		
slide [24] 3:24, 7:10, 8:12, 10:2,	titled - 25:23	1:9, 1:9, 1:15,		
14:16, 14:21, 15:6, 15:10, 15:21,	today (2) 5:23, 18:7 top (3) 12:12, 17:3,	1:18, 7:11, 7:15, 7:18, 7:19, 7:21,		
16:10, 16:11,	17:8	10:22, 11:8, 12:11,	Ì	
16:19, 16:23, 17:3, 17:13, 18:3, 18:13,	topic - 6:7 Tower - 1:14	22:22, 23:6, 23:13, 23:15, 27:4, 27:18 you'll [3] 14:23,		
19:6, 19:11, 19:11,	transcript [2] 31:25, 32:4	you'll [3] 14:23, 15:10, 17:6		
19:21, 20:7, 20:12, 20:19	transfer [7] 13:21.	yourself - 7:6		
snipped - 8:12	28:21, 28:24, 29:3, 29:4, 29:5, 30:12			1
sole [3] 17:10, 20:10	transfers - 5:19 translator - 19:2	Z		ļ
somebody [6] 17:21, 21:12, 24:21, 29:7.	trap - 19:24			
21:12, 24:21, 29:7, 29:8, 30:3	tried [6] 4:18, 4:21, 4:22, 10:10,	sero - 6:5		
someone - 6:5 somewhat - 3:18	4:21, 4:22, 10:10, 23:7, 29:14			
somewhere [3] 7:5, 12:9, 12:20	tries - 11:6 true [5] 19:14,			
son [3] 14:2, 24:23,	19:19, 20:17, 29:16, 32:4	•		1
29:18 son's [6] 4:21,	trust [7] 4:21,			
4:23, 13:21, 13:23,	4:23, 8:21, 8:22, 13:21, 13:23, 29:14	ļ		
24:20, 29:14 sorry - 24:3	truth - 13:12			
sorry - 24:3 specific - 6:20 spent [2] 20:20,	turn - 9:18 twice - 5:2			
26:16	2			
Square - 1:14 standard [6] 4:8,	U			
14:8, 21:16, 21:17,		ļ		
29:10, 30:4 standards [2] 8:12,	uncover - 8:15 uncovered [2] 8:10,	ŀ	,	
8:19 stapled - 9:12	9:20			l i
start [2] 4:8, 10:6	understand [3] 12:6, 25:12, 25:18			
started - 3:25 state [3] 1:1, 4:15,	understatement - 4:4 understood [2] 3:21,			
7:19 statement - 8:15	28:13			
statements - 19:4	unencumbered - 10:15 unfair - 21:20			
atates [2] 2:4, 25:14	unhappy - 24:5			
atatus [2] 2:17, 21:9	unique - 24:11 United - 25:14			
stenographic - 32:4	unprecedented [2] 12:6, 21:4			
straight - 15:7	unrelated - 20:3			
26:12	untort - 23:23 uses [2] 11:9, 15:18			
strategic - 13:13 Street - 1:9	using [2] 7:5, 12:13 utterly - 8:5			
striking - 8:13 structure [4] 8:4,				
12:11, 16:22, 21:5	v			
STUART - 1:16	l —			
submit - 21:15	Vannguard - 5:25			
submitted [4] 6:5, 16:24, 19:8, 21:10	veil [5] 2:14, 6:14, 16:23, 20:20, 20:22			
suffice - 6:23 suggested - 26:2	verse = 17:5			
summarize - 20:19	versus [2] 7:9, 7:11 Virgin - 18:5	1		
summarised - 10:3 summary - 3:4	voluminous - 3:18 volunteered - 13:2			
summerize - 20:21				
summerised - 7:10 support - 18:23	W			
Supreme [2] 1:1, 1:11			j	
Sweeney [31 7:9.	walk [3] 4:25, 5:22, 6:7			
7:11, 12:13 swore [2] 20:4, 20:5	walked - 9:14			
sworn - 19:3	WAN [2] 1:5, 1:6 Wang [4] 16:23,			
	17:2, 17:9, 18:23 wanted [2] 12:8,			